Remarks

In an amendment filed on June 29, 2006, Applicants canceled claims 1-10, 15-2, and

32-29 and presented claims 39-59 for examination. In an Office Communication dated

October 20, 2006, Examiner asserted that the amendment was non-responsive because the

claims would have been subject to a restriction requirement had they been presented with the

original claims in the application.

During an interview during the week of November 14, 2006, Examiner instructed that

if the pending claims were amended in such a way that the original claims would read upon

them, that the claims would not be subject to restriction. Applicants have amended the

claims accordingly. (Compare, e.g. original claim 28 and independent claims 39 and 47 in

their current form.)

On the basis of the proposed amendments, Applicants respectfully request that

Examiner enter the proposed claim amendments and promptly examine the claims in their

amended form. In addition, in accordance with Applicants' interview with Examiner,

Applicants respectfully request that Examiner contact the undersigned should Examiner

believes it will help expedite furtherance of this application.

Respectfully Submitted,

Tom Van Horn, et al.

Date: Nov. 20, 2006

By: /Colleen V. Chien/

Colleen V. Chien, Reg. No. 55,062

Fenwick & West LLP

Silicon Valley Center

801 California Street

Mountain View, CA 94041

Phone: (415) 875-2319

1 Hone. (+13) 673-2317

Fax: (415) 281-1350

Case 6085 (Amendment F) U.S. Serial No. 09/686,778

6